

5-1-55

Zion Mission:

Preamble of NPT:

UN Charter must refrain from  
threats or use of  
force against the  
territorial integrity  
of any nation

[is vital, use,  
self-defense,

GCD

24. Purpose of  
NPT - to keep  
the TW targets  
of US empire from  
getting over:  
(tan: VN (I & 65))

(What really was  
the purpose of the  
NPT in US eyes?!

Z.M.

60% of people  
over 65(?) approve  
of use of A-bomb  
at Hiroshima

[60% - 40 years after  
Hiroshima]

60% of people<sup>US</sup>  
18-29 disapprove  
of Hiroshima

40% don't

see WHD'S in frag!

SA - 9-11

Species' Problem!

for fear of  
revenge  
modifying to  
warfare

(compare to FDR  
Sept - 1, 1939 -  
appeal not to  
bomb

Resnick

30% of people  
in Santhrust in  
1945 would say  
had continued so we  
could drop more  
A-bombs.

22% of US pop  
opposed.

(85% approval  
of bombing)

---

In his classes, <sup>90%</sup> 80% of  
students oppose Hiroshima.

Calicut

NPT - a nuclear,  
proliferation treaty  
drawn up by men.

You can't have more, but  
we'll keep you until plants  
are making HBU + PU  
at the top our men at the  
top of the hole - some  
whose reputation has  
has a large reaction  
to testosterone  
(Rusfield)

Caldwell

(Men are the  
problem; are  
men the solution?)

5-1-05

But Prevent  
Anchil (8 open

ments) was also

Schell: rejected.

"

as a way to  
prevent biolif,  
prevention was  
always rejected  
by US President  
tell about

("war, as. diplomacy")

[NO - Henry, 1942-44

Japan (as. France)

non-use is

essential to

agreement

(Commit, after "disagreement")

[ NPT was not  
just flawed  
(Caldwell) or "not  
impeccable":

It was a leap  
of all: 3) art II; 4) <sup>rule</sup> ~~power~~  
[NNWS did not  
bring a cap. (or intent)  
to have been  
eventually

April 30, 05  
NPT

Schall:

- suspects that we despise NWS
- have contempt for them as weaklings
- and repect NWS.

Protection of weaker states from attack of larger is the best reason for having ones (see US & NATO)

model B was  
adopted:

2) encourage

"firms" to have ones -  
don't interfere

in any real way,  
including exposure

5) don't do anything  
necessary (esp. the portfolio)

\* discourage policy, encourage  
(c) information & cooperation.  
do continue it

uniform "policies"

that promote & protect  
policy.

Since 1964 there  
was a real SU  
threat to be "detained"  
and before that,  
it was supposed.

("Only" I + a few  
others knew - like '61-  
1964 - this was not  
the case - and that  
US made us inquire to  
expose this knowledge to  
change policy: any more  
than at end of CW.

Why do we maintain  
CW over posture:

1) Adventure - profit,  
bureaucratic, service

2) "China, Russia"

[Japan, Korea...]

Schell: What is the  
source of this strange,  
don't will to possess  
most are around?

PNAC: Inter university  
to us

Caldicott's  
chart of resources  
on a Trinit Airb

is like a bar code  
- identifying a product  
that will end  
civilization.

24 mines

8 warheads each

192

75 000

0

all weapons  
~~will be used~~  
in VN

75 KT

13.4 MT

13,400,000

5-1-05

Since US will  
monitor we sup,  
to monitor FU re.

[ (this did not <sup>even</sup> stop  
SU from "competing";  
or will be from  
old from beginning:  
or threatening  
Cred. retal (under  
attack: us. "National  
Security under  
new attack — or  
"all-out" non-new  
attack (Iraq, Iran, NK )

- US prop.
- one power

Since - herald

there will be  
Analog

and most of it  
is OK

(USG/Rusk: like  
Ken Waltz - a  
one-anal would  
is more stable (!))

Makigioni:

A large part  
of the problem  
is the desire of the  
military estate  
(inc. servants) to  
hold on to their  
money.

(To Peter - & do  
want more than a  
correct, non-sensical?)

5-1-05

What was the real  
"bargain" that NMWS  
accepted?

- They weren't  
secretly proceed up to the  
eve of a test, the test

- if Pearl weren't  
openly test?

(as vehicle)?

(like India, Pak, (Israel))

as a surprise and  
first sample; then  
the program was not "an  
alert" - it subverted a

1 NK,  
3-6 months interval  
of warning to test.

Does NPT slow down  
efforts to acquire  
nukes?

want if no NPT?  
who/when?

India looks bad :  
w/ out the "nuc"  
nukes? vs. China?

(Pure prestige? Dan feel?)

as mentioned to  
NWS. India...

in Don Pal

and domestic profits  
(Pal - black budget

and AQKha exports!

(Kitya, here, NE...?)

to Khar unregime -

compare to S.H. (if

be best original);

Israel (South Africa)

France (Israel)

(SU (Cuba!)

NWS will "trade" the

most precious asset/product

paper has 40 tons  
of PU.

No NPR disposal

5-1-05

James Carroll  
from Lake, at  
Casablanca in 1943  
said Americans  
would never use  
the so-called  
weapons of the British.

But at some  
conference UCS  
was decided on —  
"the path to it  
• lay through  
"conditional  
destruction." (Sobolev)

SUB., VA, A-<sup>Sample</sup> (RT)

Terrain caption / nation  
a hand built that American con-  
Canall; As since  
it became clear <sup>has been confirmed</sup>

(in 1943-44) that  
"American" band  
was no more  
effective than  
area banding ...

(i.e. area banding  
was not less effective  
...)

The blind impulse  
to strike back  
to hurt is part  
of the human makeup;  
yet it is a source  
of shame — so we  
(humans) cloak it  
in a variety of  
reasons — never,  
"to pay back."

— The first Masonist  
gave an address on  
Honoraria: The book

was used first  
on those who starved  
& beaten American  
POWs, on those who  
committed aggression,  
who struck at Pearl  
Harbor.

"That's why it went  
so little with the

Kay, McN, 1st

PAY-BACK: No one  
takes such a blow without  
wanting to strike someone

Transition phase -

but - (safe) ~~definitely~~  
is dangerous

security is absolute.  
or bluff is safer than  
trust - telling

SU minutes in Cuba

K bluff in 1953-61

China plan to test, 84<sup>65</sup>  
NK <sup>till 2005</sup> long phase (in SU, 69)

StH (bluff from 1991<sup>4</sup> - 2008)  
since 1981

from now (Russia: 46-50)

Shderot chem 47

47 jn No 70